

Service Date: December 5, 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

\* \* \* \* \*

IN THE MATTER OF The Petition of	)	
AT&T Communications of the Mountain	)	UTILITY DIVISION
States, Inc. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C.	)	
Section 252(b) for Arbitration of	)	
Rates, Terms, and Conditions of	)	DOCKET NO. D96.11.200
Interconnection With U S WEST	)	
Communications, Inc.	)	ORDER NO. 5961a

**PROCEDURAL ORDER**

On November 22, 1996, AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. (AT&T) filed a petition with the Montana Public Service Commission (Commission), pursuant to §252(b)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 , Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (Feb. 8, 1996) (the 1996 Act), to arbitrate open issues related to its interconnection negotiations with U S WEST Communications, Inc. (U S WEST). Section 252(b)(1) provides that any party to such a negotiation for interconnection may petition a state commission to arbitrate open issues during the period from the 135th to the 160th day (inclusive) after the date on which the incumbent local exchange carrier receives the request for negotiation. AT&T's petition for arbitration states that U S WEST received its request for negotiations on June 20, 1996. According to § 252(b)(1), a timely petition for arbitration may be filed with this Commission between November 4, 1996 and November 29, 1996.

In a scheduled work session held December 3, 1996, the Commission voted to act as the arbitration panel to decide the open issues in this matter, appointed a hearings officer to handle procedural matters, granted AT&T's motions for procedural and protective orders in the format prepared by Commission staff, and limited intervention to the Montana Consumer Counsel.

The Commission has delegated to the Hearings Officer the duty to set the procedural schedule and issue the Order. The Hearings Officer has established a firm schedule in this

Procedural Order. When the amendment does not alter the scheduled hearing date or otherwise delay the arbitration proceeding, the dates set forth in the procedural schedule may be reconsidered upon a showing of good cause. The Commission must render a final decision on the open issues in this arbitration by March 20, 1997 and, thus, will not reconsider the date set for hearing except in extraordinary circumstances. The Commission has set aside a maximum of seven and one-half days for the arbitration hearing.

### Introduction

AT&T is an interexchange carrier offering, *inter alia*, interstate and intrastate long distance services throughout the United States. As an interexchange carrier offering intrastate long distance services in Montana, AT&T is regulated by the Commission. AT&T states in its Petition that it intends to initially rely primarily on the resale of U S WEST's services to provide broad-based local service to its Montana customers. AT&T intends to provide, in conjunction with resale services, other customer services including directory assistance, operator service, and the processing of ordering, billing, billing inquiries, and responses to maintenance and repair requests. Over time, according to AT&T's Petition, it will migrate towards deploying its own facilities, and will integrate its facilities with the unbundled network elements and related functions and services that AT&T obtains from U S WEST.

AT&T and U S WEST are conducting ongoing negotiations, but they have not reached complete agreement on the rates, terms, and conditions governing interconnection. Montana is one of six states where AT&T and U S WEST are presently conducting contract negotiations for interconnection. Earlier this year, AT&T requested arbitration by state commissions in the other eight states where U S WEST operates as an incumbent local exchange carrier. State commissions in the eight states involved in the first round of negotiations are at the end of the arbitration process.

AT&T's November 22, 1996 filing requesting Commission arbitration pursuant to § 252 of the 1996 Act was designated Docket No. D96.11.200. Similar filings have been made by AT&T in the five other states in U S WEST's operating region which are part of AT&T's second phase of negotiations with U S WEST.

Under the authority of ARM 38.2.2701-2702, this Procedural Order establishes the procedure to be followed in Docket No. D96.11.200. This Order is effective immediately and remains effective until modified by the Commission.

Intervention and Participation

1. In this Order the term “parties” includes the Petitioner AT&T, the Respondent U S WEST and, if requested, the Montana Consumer Counsel (MCC), the only permitted intervenor.

2. Other interested parties who have not been permitted to intervene may participate as observers and may file limited comments according to the time set forth in the Procedural Schedule attached hereto as “Attachment AA.” Such comments will be limited to the issues identified as “open issues” in this arbitration proceeding, except that comments will not be considered if they relate to issues resolved by AT&T and U S WEST prior to the arbitration hearing.

Service and Filing

3. Copies of all pleadings, motions, discovery requests and responses, prefiled testimony, briefs and all other documents shall be filed with the Commission and served on all parties and other entities and individuals on the service list in this Docket. Service upon the parties shall be upon the parties' attorney of record and such other individuals as may be reasonably designated by the attorney of record. The parties may limit service of discovery responses to service on the party making the discovery request, the Commission, and parties specifically requesting service of discovery responses.

4. Filing by means of telephonic facsimile will not suffice for timely filing. Filing and service deadlines are the dates set for filing of the requisite number of paper copies in the offices of the Commission.

5. An original and seven (7) copies of all discovery must be filed with the Commission, and an original and eleven (11) copies of all other documents, except proprietary documents. Only one copy of proprietary documents shall be filed -- on yellow paper. The

Hearings Officer may designate different forms of service for some parties on the service list as appropriate, at a later date (e.g., overnight mail, cover letter only, etc.).

#### Schedule

6. The deadlines for service and filing of documents in this Docket (pursuant to the above requirements) and other pertinent dates, shall be those dates contained in Attachment AA@ which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

#### Discovery

7. The term "discovery" includes all forms of discovery authorized by the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure, as well as "data requests" (informal interrogatories).

8. The Commission directs all parties to prepare data requests according to the following guidelines:

(a) Parties must assign their data requests a request number (e.g., MDU-001). Request numbers must be consecutive regardless of the party to whom the request is directed (e.g., the PSC might direct PSC-001 through 008 to MDU, PSC-009 through 016 to MCC, and PSC-017 through 019 to MDU).

(b) All data requests must include at the beginning of each request a description of five words or less explaining the subject of the data request. Other identifying information, such as the witness to whom the request is submitted, exhibit number, page number, etc., may be included in addition to, but not in lieu of, the subject of the request. This requirement will help to identify all data requests and responses addressing a particular subject or group of subjects. Subject descriptions will obviously vary from one party to another. However, each party should attempt to keep descriptions consistent from one request to another.

(c) Multi-part requests may be used, each part denoted by a lower case letter (a, b, c, d and e). Requests must be limited to five parts (a-e). If additional parts are necessary, additional requests must be made. A single part request should be denoted by the request number only.

(d) Examples of acceptable data requests are as follows:

PSC-500 RE: Purchased Gas Contracts  
Witness - Burke, Page JBB-4, Lines 13-15.

Please provide the origination and expiration date for each contract.

PSC-501 RE: Bypass  
Witness - Johnson, Page DAJ-14, Lines 11-14.

- a. What risks of bypass would be avoided by the shareholders as a result of the Company's proposed treatment?
- b. What risks of bypass would be avoided by the ratepayers as a result of the Company's proposed treatment?

9. The party receiving the written discovery or data request has three calendar days from receipt to file any objections it has to the request(s). Notice of the objection shall be served upon the Commission and all parties (as provided in the paragraph above). The Commission may dispose of such objections by prompt ruling or may schedule argument. Failure to timely object will be a waiver of objections.

10. Any requesting party dissatisfied with the response to any written discovery or data request and desiring PSC action to compel, must serve its written objection(s) within three calendar days after receipt of such response (as provided in the paragraph above). The Commission may dispose of such objection(s) by prompt ruling, or may schedule argument. The Commission will act either to sustain or overrule the objection(s), and if sustaining, set a deadline for a satisfactory response.

11. Parties are encouraged to attempt to resolve all discovery disputes between themselves, before filing objections. All motions regarding discovery should contain a statement explaining the efforts taken to resolve the issue informally, and a photocopy or restatement of the requests and responses. Discovery motions which do not include a statement explaining the efforts taken to resolve the issue informally are subject to denial without substantive consideration of the merits. Discovery motions do not stop the filing requirement with the Commission; that is, any objectionable information must be filed with the Commission and may be designated as "special" proprietary information prior to the Commission's ruling on the merits of the objection. Such proprietary information shall not be available to the other parties unless

the Commission overrules the objection. The Commission will consider the motion at the earliest opportunity after giving notice and, if the motion is sustained, will return the information to the providing party.

12. Submission of written discovery after the deadline established will be allowed by leave of the PSC only. The PSC will not grant requests without a showing of good cause explaining why the request was not submitted within the time period allowed.

13. Unless excused by the PSC, failure by a party to answer data requests or other discovery from any party may result in: (a) action refusing to allow the failing party to support or oppose related claims; (b) action prohibiting introduction of related matters in evidence; (c) action striking pleadings, testimony or parts thereof; (d) action staying further proceedings until the request is satisfied; or (e) action dismissing the case, defense, proceeding or parts thereof.

14. Unless otherwise provided by this Order, PSC Rules or other PSC action, discovery procedures and requirements shall be governed by the applicable Montana Rules of Civil Procedure. *See* ARM 38.2.3301 through 38.2.3305.

#### Testimony and Evidence

15. The PSC contemplates a complete identification of issues before the hearing. The PSC will not permit introduction of new issues or data in new areas at the time of hearing.

16. At hearing, the PSC will admit into the record all prefiled direct, answer and rebuttal testimony upon motion of the proponent, without the necessity of the witness reading the testimony into the record. This testimony will be an exhibit and not otherwise entered into the transcript.

17. All proposed exhibits and prefiled written testimony shall be marked for the purpose of identification prior to the start of the hearing. Parties shall arrange in advance with the court reporter or at a prehearing conference, for the preferred manner of identifying exhibits.

18. When cross-examination is based on a document not previously filed with the Commission, copies of the document will be made available to the Commissioners, parties, and staff, unless good cause is shown why copies are not available. Parties introducing data requests or other discovery must have copies of each request and response available at the hearing for the

court reporter, each Commissioner, the PSC staff, and all parties. This last requirement may be waived if the documents to be introduced are bulky, or for other good cause, and if previous arrangements have been made with the PSC and all parties.

19. Parties may be permitted to present live rebuttal testimony only by leave of the PSC or presiding officer.

20. The Montana Rules of Evidence in effect at the time of the hearing will apply.

21. Any party to this proceeding responding to written discovery from any other party or the Commission shall have each person authorizing any response(s) present and available as a witness at the hearing to introduce the response(s) and be available for cross-examination. Parties may waive objection(s) to introduction absent the author, and the right to cross-examine. Upon PSC approval of such parties' agreement to waiver, the person responding to data requests need not be present to testify and the responses may be introduced into the record. Written discovery and data responses will be introduced at hearing only pursuant to applicable rules of evidence and through an appropriate witness subject to cross-examination, or upon stipulation approved by the PSC (except, *see* Rule 32, M.R.Civ.P. regarding admission of depositions).

#### Prehearing Motions and Conferences

22. Motions by any party, including motions to strike prefiled testimony and motions concerning any procedural matter connected with this Docket shall be raised at the earliest possible time. Due to the limited time for Commission action in this proceeding, prehearing motions relating to substantive matters shall be presented to the Commission at scheduled prehearing conferences, unless it is necessary that the Commission rule on the matter prior to the next scheduled prehearing conference. Prehearing motions shall be submitted on briefs, without oral argument, unless otherwise requested by a party and approved by the PSC. All parties are strongly encouraged to attempt to resolve procedural disputes informally.

23. The Procedural Schedule ("Attachment AA") provides for two prehearing conferences. The PSC may set additional prehearing conferences to discuss settlement of any issues in the proceeding, simplification of issues, possibility of obtaining admissions of fact and docu-

ments, distribution and marking of written testimony and exhibits prior to the hearing, and other procedural matters as may aid in the disposition of the proceeding.

24. Nothing in this order shall be construed to limit the legally established right of the PSC or its staff to inspect the books and accounts of U S WEST and other regulated utilities at any time.

#### Prehearing Memoranda

25. Each party and the Commission staff will serve a prehearing memorandum on the Commission and all formal parties in this Docket on or before January 30, 1997, containing the following information:

- a. Each and every Data Response and all other exhibits that it intends to offer into evidence at the hearing;
- b. The name of the witness responsible for the Data Response or through which the exhibit will be offered; and
- c. The issue to which the Data Response or document is relevant.

In addition, all parties (but not the Commission staff) are required to include the following in their prehearing memoranda:

- d. A list of all issues, contested and uncontested;
- e. Witnesses the party will call to testify;
- f. A proposed order of witnesses (including whether each witness would simultaneously offer both direct and rebuttal testimony);
- g. A proposed order of cross-examination; and
- h. Identification and explanation of any special scheduling or witness sequence needs which a party requests to accommodate scheduling conflicts.

#### Amendment

26. The provisions of this Order may only be amended by PSC action, or Hearings Officer action pursuant to delegated authority. The PSC shall maintain continuing jurisdiction of the matters encompassed by this Order during the course of this Docket.



DONE AND DATED this 3rd day of December, 1996 by delegation to Commission staff  
as the Order of the Montana Public Service Commission.

BY THE MONTANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

NANCY MCCAFFREE, Chair  
DAVE FISHER, Vice Chair  
BOB ANDERSON, Commissioner  
DANNY OBERG, Commissioner  
BOB ROWE, Commissioner

“ATTACHMENT AA”

Procedural Schedule  
Docket No. D96.11.200, Order No. 5961a

<u>Deadline*</u>	<u>Action</u>
December 17, 1996	Intervention Deadline; Simultaneous Prefiled Testimony due from Arbitrating Parties.
December 27, 1996	Data Requests on Arbitrating Parties' Prefiled Testimony due; Intervenor Prefiled Testimony due.
December 30, 1996	First Pre-Hearing Conference.
January 6, 1997	Data Requests on Intervenor Prefiled Testimony due.
January 10, 1997	Answers to December 27 Data Requests due.
January 20, 1997	Simultaneous Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony due; Answers to January 6 Data Requests due.
January 28, 1997	Second Pre-Hearing Conference.
January 30, 1997	Prehearing Memoranda due.
February 4, 1997	Hearing to Commence in Docket No. D96.11.200.
February 18, 1997	Post-hearing Briefs of Parties and Comments of Non-Party Participants due.
March 20, 1997	Final Order due.

\*DEADLINES ARE RECEIPT DATES